



Philosophy S-140
Deductive Logic

Individual
Evaluations



Evaluation # 1 of 16

General Questions

Did you find the course intellectually engaging? (1 = not at all; 5 = very engaging)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Usefulness of the website (1 = not at all; 5 = very useful)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Difficulty overall (1 = easy, 5 = very difficult)	NA	1	2	3	X 4	5
Rate the value of sections/labs (1=Not Valuable; 5=Very Valuable)	X NA	1	2	3	4	5
OVERALL COURSE RATING (1 = very poor; 5 = outstanding)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of this course. How could it be improved?

Would you recommend this course?

The assigned reading was useful. (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
How well paced is the course workload? (1 = too slow, 5 = too fast)	NA	1	2	X 3	4	5
Assignments were well chosen and relevant to the material (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Comments on written work were helpful. (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5

Comment on the reading assignments.

Comment on written assignments and/or problem sets. (Did they help you prepare for the exams or quizzes? Were they manageable?)

Evaluation of Instructors

Pearson, James J

Does the instructor give clear/well-structured presentations? (1=Poor/No; 5=Very Good/Yes)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Answered questions well in class (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Encouraged participation (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Was receptive to answering questions outside class (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Generated enthusiasm for the subject matter (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5



WAS EFFECTIVE OVERALL (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)

						X
NA	1	2	3	4	5	

Comment on the instructor(s).

Dr. Pearson is an excellent professor both academically and personally. He possesses adroit knowledge related to the course and is always patient to answer our questions as comprehensively as possible. He is always being very helpful.

Questions Provided by the Instructor

Assignments helped me to improve my problem-solving abilities. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
I am more interested in philosophy as a result of having taken this course. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
I found working in small groups together on exercises beneficial. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	2	3	X 4	5



Evaluation # 2 of 16

General Questions

Did you find the course intellectually engaging? (1 = not at all; 5 = very engaging)	NA	1	2	3	X 4	5
Usefulness of the website (1 = not at all; 5 = very useful)	NA	1	2	3	X 4	5
Difficulty overall (1 = easy, 5 = very difficult)	NA	X 1	2	3	4	5
Rate the value of sections/labs (1=Not Valuable; 5=Very Valuable)	X NA	1	2	3	4	5
OVERALL COURSE RATING (1 = very poor; 5 = outstanding)	NA	1	2	X 3	4	5

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of this course. How could it be improved?

Would you recommend this course?

The assigned reading was useful. (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	X 2	3	4	5
How well paced is the course workload? (1 = too slow, 5 = too fast)	NA	1	2	X 3	4	5
Assignments were well chosen and relevant to the material (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Comments on written work were helpful. (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5

Comment on the reading assignments.

Comment on written assignments and/or problem sets. (Did they help you prepare for the exams or quizzes? Were they manageable?)

Evaluation of Instructors

Pearson, James J

Does the instructor give clear/well-structured presentations? (1=Poor/No; 5=Very Good/Yes)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Answered questions well in class (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Encouraged participation (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Was receptive to answering questions outside class (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Generated enthusiasm for the subject matter (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5



WAS EFFECTIVE OVERALL (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)

NA	1	2	3	4	X	5

Comment on the instructor(s).

he made the class very fun and interesting! I couldn't believe before this class that I would enjoy 3 hours of class without a break and that I would look forward for the next one! He was for sure the most suitable person for that position!

Questions Provided by the Instructor

Assignments helped me to improve my problem-solving abilities. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)

NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
----	---	---	---	---	----------	---

I am more interested in philosophy as a result of having taken this course. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)

NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
----	---	---	---	---	----------	---

I found working in small groups together on exercises beneficial. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)

NA	1	2	X	3	4	5
----	---	---	----------	---	---	---

Evaluation # 3 of 16

General Questions

Did you find the course intellectually engaging? (1 = not at all; 5 = very engaging)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Usefulness of the website (1 = not at all; 5 = very useful)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Difficulty overall (1 = easy, 5 = very difficult)	NA	1	2	X 3	4	5
Rate the value of sections/labs (1=Not Valuable; 5=Very Valuable)	X NA	1	2	3	4	5
OVERALL COURSE RATING (1 = very poor; 5 = outstanding)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of this course. How could it be improved?

This was an exemplary introductory philosophy/symbolic logic course. It was one of the best I've taken at Harvard, and I'm a student at the College... Also, being able to take a mathy course that isn't completely full of math nerds per usual was very refreshing.

Would you recommend this course?

Yes, wholeheartedly; just not to slow-witted people, or those who don't think abstractly enough to appreciate the intrinsic value of symbolic logic.

The assigned reading was useful. (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
How well paced is the course workload? (1 = too slow, 5 = too fast)	NA	1	2	X 3	4	5
Assignments were well chosen and relevant to the material (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Comments on written work were helpful. (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5

Comment on the reading assignments.

Deductive Logic is an excellent text for the course, and the readings were reasonable in length.

Comment on written assignments and/or problem sets. (Did they help you prepare for the exams or quizzes? Were they manageable?)

The problem sets were totally manageable, if a bit on the long/detailed/at times slightly tedious side. However, they were very helpful in getting the points across.

Evaluation of Instructors

Pearson, James J

Does the instructor give clear/well-structured presentations? (1=Poor/No; 5=Very Good/Yes)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Answered questions well in class (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Encouraged participation (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5

Was receptive to answering questions outside class (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Generated enthusiasm for the subject matter (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
WAS EFFECTIVE OVERALL (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5

Comment on the instructor(s).

I was absolutely impressed with Dr. Pearson as an instructor. While at first it annoyed me that he meticulously and unforgivingly marked me off on homeworks for subtle mistakes in my notation and such, I came to realize that he applied this policy so evenhandedly with his students that I wasn't being wronged at all, and in fact I eventually appreciated Dr. Pearson's dedication to creating as fair of an academic environment as he possibly could. Dr. Pearson also somehow manages to exist in the intersection of "quality lecturers" and "lecturers with a good sense of humor;" this made the 3-hour Harvard Summer School morning lectures much more bearable than they would otherwise have been. He *REALLY* generates enthusiasm, frankly more so than any instructor I've had term-time - purely as a consequence of taking this class, I'm now dead-set on taking philosophy (particularly of the mathy sort) much further. I really cannot express how lucky I feel to have found his course at the last second this summer - it was truly a wonderful academic experience, and I gained a tremendous amount of insight from James Pearson's crystal-clear and enlightening lecturing.

Questions Provided by the Instructor

Assignments helped me to improve my problem-solving abilities. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
I am more interested in philosophy as a result of having taken this course. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
I found working in small groups together on exercises beneficial. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	X 2	3	4	5



Evaluation # 4 of 16

General Questions

Did you find the course intellectually engaging? (1 = not at all; 5 = very engaging)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Usefulness of the website (1 = not at all; 5 = very useful)	NA	1	2	X 3	4	5
Difficulty overall (1 = easy, 5 = very difficult)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Rate the value of sections/labs (1=Not Valuable; 5=Very Valuable)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
OVERALL COURSE RATING (1 = very poor; 5 = outstanding)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of this course. How could it be improved?

Very hit or miss, but I loved it.

Would you recommend this course?

Absolutely.

The assigned reading was useful. (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	X 1	2	3	4	5
How well paced is the course workload? (1 = too slow, 5 = too fast)	NA	1	2	3	X 4	5
Assignments were well chosen and relevant to the material (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Comments on written work were helpful. (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5

Comment on the reading assignments.

Very helpful, and meeting with Dr. Pearson was great.

Comment on written assignments and/or problem sets. (Did they help you prepare for the exams or quizzes? Were they manageable?)

Difficult at times, though great.

Evaluation of Instructors

Pearson, James J

Does the instructor give clear/well-structured presentations? (1=Poor/No; 5=Very Good/Yes)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Answered questions well in class (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Encouraged participation (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	X 3	4	5
Was receptive to answering questions outside class (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	5
Generated enthusiasm for the subject matter (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5



WAS EFFECTIVE OVERALL (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)

NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
----	---	---	---	---	---------------

Comment on the instructor(s).

Awesome. Great man.

Questions Provided by the Instructor

Assignments helped me to improve my problem-solving abilities. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
I am more interested in philosophy as a result of having taken this course. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	2	3	X 4	5
I found working in small groups together on exercises beneficial. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5

Evaluation # 5 of 16

General Questions

Did you find the course intellectually engaging? (1 = not at all; 5 = very engaging)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Usefulness of the website (1 = not at all; 5 = very useful)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Difficulty overall (1 = easy, 5 = very difficult)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Rate the value of sections/labs (1=Not Valuable; 5=Very Valuable)	X	NA	1	2	3	4	5
OVERALL COURSE RATING (1 = very poor; 5 = outstanding)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of this course. How could it be improved?	<input type="text"/>						
Would you recommend this course?	<input type="text"/>						
The assigned reading was useful. (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
How well paced is the course workload? (1 = too slow, 5 = too fast)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Assignments were well chosen and relevant to the material (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Comments on written work were helpful. (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Comment on the reading assignments.	<input type="text"/>						
Comment on written assignments and/or problem sets. (Did they help you prepare for the exams or quizzes? Were they manageable?)	<input type="text"/>						

Evaluation of Instructors

Pearson, James J

Does the instructor give clear/well-structured presentations? (1=Poor/No; 5=Very Good/Yes)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Answered questions well in class (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Encouraged participation (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Was receptive to answering questions outside class (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Generated enthusiasm for the subject matter (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5



WAS EFFECTIVE OVERALL (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)

NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
----	---	---	---	---	---------------

Comment on the instructor(s).

Questions Provided by the Instructor

Assignments helped me to improve my problem-solving abilities. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
I am more interested in philosophy as a result of having taken this course. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	2	3	X 4	5
I found working in small groups together on exercises beneficial. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	2	3	X 4	5

Evaluation # 6 of 16

General Questions

Did you find the course intellectually engaging? (1 = not at all; 5 = very engaging)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Usefulness of the website (1 = not at all; 5 = very useful)	NA	1	X 2	3	4	5
Difficulty overall (1 = easy, 5 = very difficult)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Rate the value of sections/labs (1=Not Valuable; 5=Very Valuable)	X NA	1	2	3	4	5
OVERALL COURSE RATING (1 = very poor; 5 = outstanding)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of this course. How could it be improved?

I believe incoming students should have a clearer view on what this course is when they are registering. The description online by no means explains well enough what material is covered in the course. It should also be noted that this is a more advanced course, as well as one of the more difficult ones offered.

Would you recommend this course?

No

The assigned reading was useful. (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	X 1	2	3	4	5
How well paced is the course workload? (1 = too slow, 5 = too fast)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Assignments were well chosen and relevant to the material (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Comments on written work were helpful. (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5

Comment on the reading assignments.

Comment on written assignments and/or problem sets. (Did they help you prepare for the exams or quizzes? Were they manageable?)

After the move into the more difficult part of the course, the problem sets became very difficult for me. Again, I think this could be avoided if students had a better idea of what this course is. The description as well as the first two weeks are extremely misleading.

Evaluation of Instructors

Pearson, James J

Does the instructor give clear/well-structured presentations? (1=Poor/No; 5=Very Good/Yes)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Answered questions well in class (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Encouraged participation (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	X 2	3	4	5



Was receptive to answering questions outside class (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Generated enthusiasm for the subject matter (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	X 3	4	5
WAS EFFECTIVE OVERALL (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	X 4	5

Comment on the instructor(s).

Dr.Pearson is a good professor, however he is better suited for students more adept at logic,as opposed to more novice students who will not pick up as quickly. This has a great deal to do with the court itself, rather than Dr.Pearson as an instructor however.

Questions Provided by the Instructor

Assignments helped me to improve my problem-solving abilities. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	2	3	X 4	5
I am more interested in philosophy as a result of having taken this course. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	X 1	2	3	4	5
I found working in small groups together on exercises beneficial. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	2	3	X 4	5

Evaluation # 7 of 16

General Questions

Did you find the course intellectually engaging? (1 = not at all; 5 = very engaging)	NA	1	X 2	3	4	5
Usefulness of the website (1 = not at all; 5 = very useful)	NA	1	2	3	X 4	5
Difficulty overall (1 = easy, 5 = very difficult)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Rate the value of sections/labs (1=Not Valuable; 5=Very Valuable)	X NA	1	2	3	4	5
OVERALL COURSE RATING (1 = very poor; 5 = outstanding)	NA	1	X 2	3	4	5

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of this course. How could it be improved?

The course provides very rigorous introduction to deductive logic, covering a lot of topics, even more than the usual Deductive Logic course in Harvard (EMR 17) in 6 just weeks. Typically, into courses are made as fun as possible to raise student interest to pursue further investigation of the subject; however this class was terribly dull. We had no logic puzzles, almost no discussion of illogical parts of political speeches, instead we examined and proved artificial conclusions (e.x. No-one who disapproves of someone disapproves of him or herself - do we really day such things in ordinary language?!?). We spent almost half of the time perusing definitions and had very little time for practice problems. EMR-17 has a weekly hour section to go over the covered material and clarify confusing moments. We had no section at all. The course proved to be very difficult (I must say that was the hardest class I've taken after studying in Harvard for two years), especially problem sets, which I personally did entirely on my own, since James didn't promote any study groups or social engagement between students outside the class. Midterm was given too early (or too difficult), many of us didn't get all the deduction approaches by that time and how to effectively use them. Monday morning deadlines for pset were very tough and ruined entirely almost every Sunday. Much better schedule would've been to meet every T,W,Th for 2 hours, have a recitation on Fridays and OH on Mondays to ask questions about pset. OH on Friday mornings were almost of no use to most of the class (people either didn't come or asked some philosophical questions not directly relative to the material). QR - this course satisfies QR/EMR requirement, which usually means that the course will enable the students with some mathematical toolkit useful in reasoning and problem solving. However, the course was very theoretical and the main toolkit we learned (deductions) seems to be inapplicable to real-life problem solving.

Would you recommend this course?

Unfortunately, I wouldn't recommend it to anyone unless they already have some formal logic background and want to major in philosophy. This year a lot of math students took the class and found the material to be very dull.

The assigned reading was useful. (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	X 3	4	5
How well paced is the course workload? (1 = too slow, 5 = too fast)	NA	1	2	X 3	4	5
Assignments were well chosen and relevant to the material (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	X 3	4	5
Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Comments on written work were helpful. (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	X 4	5

Comment on the reading assignments.

The blue book is readable, but terse and gives not so many examples. I'd recommend another book in addition to the official textbook.

Comment on written assignments and/or problem sets. (Did they help you prepare for the exams or quizzes? Were they manageable?)

Very difficult and time-consuming with no help out there on the weekends.

Evaluation of Instructors

Pearson, James J

Does the instructor give clear/well-structured presentations? (1=Poor/No; 5=Very Good/Yes)	NA	1	2	3	X	5
Answered questions well in class (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X
Encouraged participation (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	X	2	3	4	5
Was receptive to answering questions outside class (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	X	5
Generated enthusiasm for the subject matter (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	X	3	4	5
WAS EFFECTIVE OVERALL (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	X	4	5

Comment on the instructor(s).

See strengths and weaknesses of the course part. Some advice - make handouts (course pack) for students to fill in the blanks, otherwise a lot of time is spent by writing and rewriting things on the blackboard. - introduce some logic games and approaches to solve it (puzzle in pset6 was very cool) - allocate more time on first-order logic (quantification theory), especially paraphrasing. - remove some unnecessary parts from the course, summer gives you only 12-13 'weeks' (=meetings) to cover the 15-16 week course. Don't try to cram everything in 6 weeks. Students feel much better understanding most of than not understanding some of the notions (the glass should be half full than half empty) - assign additional readings to get the big picture of the logic, practical side of it (we only discussed that during out last lecture meeting, which is not enough). - make the course more broad than deep, not all of us major in philosophy. Show applications in other fields - math, computer science, law, etc.

Questions Provided by the Instructor

Assignments helped me to improve my problem-solving abilities. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	X	3	4	5
I am more interested in philosophy as a result of having taken this course. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	X	3	4	5
I found working in small groups together on exercises beneficial. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	X	3	4	5

Evaluation # 8 of 16

General Questions

Did you find the course intellectually engaging? (1 = not at all; 5 = very engaging)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Usefulness of the website (1 = not at all; 5 = very useful)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Difficulty overall (1 = easy, 5 = very difficult)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Rate the value of sections/labs (1=Not Valuable; 5=Very Valuable)	NA	X 1	2	3	4	5
OVERALL COURSE RATING (1 = very poor; 5 = outstanding)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of this course. How could it be improved?

The course was one of the most challenging courses I've ever taken. I'm very glad I took it. I have no suggestions to improve it.

Would you recommend this course?

Definitely. But first I would warn them of the difficulty.

The assigned reading was useful. (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
How well paced is the course workload? (1 = too slow, 5 = too fast)	NA	1	2	X 3	4	5
Assignments were well chosen and relevant to the material (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Comments on written work were helpful. (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5

Comment on the reading assignments.

Reasonable amount to read and always relevant to the following class

Comment on written assignments and/or problem sets. (Did they help you prepare for the exams or quizzes? Were they manageable?)

the problem sets were very beneficial and somewhat manageable. I'd occasionally struggle with a couple problems and the problem sets always took me a couple hours to complete, but the benefits outweighed the costs.

Evaluation of Instructors

Pearson, James J

Does the instructor give clear/well-structured presentations? (1=Poor/No; 5=Very Good/Yes)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Answered questions well in class (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Encouraged participation (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	X 2	3	4	5
Was receptive to answering questions outside class (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5



Generated enthusiasm for the subject matter (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
WAS EFFECTIVE OVERALL (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5

Comment on the instructor(s).

The instructor was very nice, sympathetic, and energetic. I really appreciated that he knew so much about the subject and could clearly explain all the topics.

Questions Provided by the Instructor

Assignments helped me to improve my problem-solving abilities. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
I am more interested in philosophy as a result of having taken this course. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
I found working in small groups together on exercises beneficial. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5

Evaluation # 9 of 16

General Questions

Did you find the course intellectually engaging? (1 = not at all; 5 = very engaging)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Usefulness of the website (1 = not at all; 5 = very useful)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Difficulty overall (1 = easy, 5 = very difficult)	NA	1	2	3	X 4	5
Rate the value of sections/labs (1=Not Valuable; 5=Very Valuable)	X NA	1	2	3	4	5
OVERALL COURSE RATING (1 = very poor; 5 = outstanding)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of this course. How could it be improved?

This course is great! It makes my summer wonderful! But, at the same time, I am also expecting the professor could have introduced more on study of logic and philosophy, or some readings.

Would you recommend this course?

Yes, strongly!

The assigned reading was useful. (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
How well paced is the course workload? (1 = too slow, 5 = too fast)	NA	1	2	X 3	4	5
Assignments were well chosen and relevant to the material (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Comments on written work were helpful. (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5

Comment on the reading assignments.

The textbook is a little bit hard at the very beginning, but it is a great book after I get used to the author's way of writing.

Comment on written assignments and/or problem sets. (Did they help you prepare for the exams or quizzes? Were they manageable?)

Assignment is really helpful in understanding the lectures and applying what we have learned. The problem sets are very helpful for exams. Generally, it is manageable.

Evaluation of Instructors

Pearson, James J

Does the instructor give clear/well-structured presentations? (1=Poor/No; 5=Very Good/Yes)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Answered questions well in class (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Encouraged participation (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Was receptive to answering questions outside class (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5



Generated enthusiasm for the subject matter (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
---	----	---	---	---	---	---------------

WAS EFFECTIVE OVERALL (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
---	----	---	---	---	---	---------------

Comment on the instructor(s).

The lectures are well organized and the professor is always eager to help.

Questions Provided by the Instructor

Assignments helped me to improve my problem-solving abilities. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
--	----	---	---	---	---	---------------

I am more interested in philosophy as a result of having taken this course. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
---	----	---	---	---	---	---------------

I found working in small groups together on exercises beneficial. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	2	X 3	4	5
---	----	---	---	---------------	---	---

Evaluation # 10 of 16

General Questions

Did you find the course intellectually engaging? (1 = not at all; 5 = very engaging)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Usefulness of the website (1 = not at all; 5 = very useful)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Difficulty overall (1 = easy, 5 = very difficult)	NA	1	2	X	4		5
Rate the value of sections/labs (1=Not Valuable; 5=Very Valuable)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
OVERALL COURSE RATING (1 = very poor; 5 = outstanding)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of this course. How could it be improved?

I personally found the course engaging and enjoyable, though at times slightly slow-paced. I recognize, however, that I have a tendency to pick things up faster than most.

Would you recommend this course?

I would recommend this course to anyone interested in understanding the basic arguments of logic, and to anyone who enjoys twisting statements in valid ways to say or express the same idea in different ways.

The assigned reading was useful. (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	X	3	4	5
How well paced is the course workload? (1 = too slow, 5 = too fast)	NA	1	X	3	4	5
Assignments were well chosen and relevant to the material (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X
Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X
Comments on written work were helpful. (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X

Comment on the reading assignments.

I did not read the book, beyond the first class. However, I recognized that should anyone need continued assistance or understanding, the book was a useful tool to turn to.

Comment on written assignments and/or problem sets. (Did they help you prepare for the exams or quizzes? Were they manageable?)

The problem sets offered very appropriate practice that led to a mastery of the material taught in class.

Evaluation of Instructors

Pearson, James J

Does the instructor give clear/well-structured presentations? (1=Poor/No; 5=Very Good/Yes)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Answered questions well in class (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Encouraged participation (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5



Was receptive to answering questions outside class (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Generated enthusiasm for the subject matter (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
WAS EFFECTIVE OVERALL (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5

Comment on the instructor(s).

I could not honestly ask for a better professor than Dr. Pearson.

Questions Provided by the Instructor

Assignments helped me to improve my problem-solving abilities. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	2	3	X	4	5
I am more interested in philosophy as a result of having taken this course. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
I found working in small groups together on exercises beneficial. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	2	X	3	4	5

Evaluation # 11 of 16

General Questions

Did you find the course intellectually engaging? (1 = not at all; 5 = very engaging)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Usefulness of the website (1 = not at all; 5 = very useful)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Difficulty overall (1 = easy, 5 = very difficult)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Rate the value of sections/labs (1=Not Valuable; 5=Very Valuable)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
OVERALL COURSE RATING (1 = very poor; 5 = outstanding)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of this course. How could it be improved?

If the class speed could slow down a little bit and constantly review the previous contents may work better for understanding, but I understand it is really hard to realize as the summer term is really short.

Would you recommend this course?

Definitely yes! I would recommend this course to those who are really interested in abstract logical thinking and especially those who are really good in mathematics.

The assigned reading was useful. (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
How well paced is the course workload? (1 = too slow, 5 = too fast)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Assignments were well chosen and relevant to the material (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Comments on written work were helpful. (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5

Comment on the reading assignments.

This book is really abstract, you particularly need to focus on the contents and analyze them.

Comment on written assignments and/or problem sets. (Did they help you prepare for the exams or quizzes? Were they manageable?)

Problem sets are extremely helpful for enhancing our understanding of the class sessions, they are manageable, but I think some of them are difficult for me to complete.

Evaluation of Instructors

Pearson, James J

Does the instructor give clear/well-structured presentations? (1=Poor/No; 5=Very Good/Yes)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Answered questions well in class (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Encouraged participation (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5

Was receptive to answering questions outside class (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Generated enthusiasm for the subject matter (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
WAS EFFECTIVE OVERALL (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5

Comment on the instructor(s).

I am very impressed by Dr. Pearson's work ethic and diligence, he usually comes to class half an hour and prepare, exceptionally fun in teaching and articulate in explanations, and even offer students extra "tea hours" to ask questions and complete problem sets. Undoubtedly, he is an excellent teacher!

Questions Provided by the Instructor

Assignments helped me to improve my problem-solving abilities. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
I am more interested in philosophy as a result of having taken this course. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	2	3	X	4	5
I found working in small groups together on exercises beneficial. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	2	X	3	4	5



Evaluation # 12 of 16

General Questions

Did you find the course intellectually engaging? (1 = not at all; 5 = very engaging)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Usefulness of the website (1 = not at all; 5 = very useful)	NA	1	2	3	X 4	5
Difficulty overall (1 = easy, 5 = very difficult)	NA	1	2	3	X 4	5
Rate the value of sections/labs (1=Not Valuable; 5=Very Valuable)	X NA	1	2	3	4	5
OVERALL COURSE RATING (1 = very poor; 5 = outstanding)	NA	1	2	3	X 4	5

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of this course. How could it be improved?

The absence of the TA was a pity.

Would you recommend this course?

Yes!

The assigned reading was useful. (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	X 2	3	4	5
How well paced is the course workload? (1 = too slow, 5 = too fast)	NA	1	2	3	X 4	5
Assignments were well chosen and relevant to the material (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Comments on written work were helpful. (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	X 4	5

Comment on the reading assignments.

The lecture was sufficient, you actually didn't need to read the book.

Comment on written assignments and/or problem sets. (Did they help you prepare for the exams or quizzes? Were they manageable?)

They did. The difficulty level was ok.

Evaluation of Instructors

Pearson, James J

Does the instructor give clear/well-structured presentations? (1=Poor/No; 5=Very Good/Yes)	NA	1	2	3	X 4	5
Answered questions well in class (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	X 4	5
Encouraged participation (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	X 4	5
Was receptive to answering questions outside class (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Generated enthusiasm for the subject matter (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5



WAS EFFECTIVE OVERALL (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)

NA	1	2	3	X 4	5
----	---	---	---	---------------	---

Comment on the instructor(s).

(y)

Questions Provided by the Instructor

Assignments helped me to improve my problem-solving abilities. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	2	3	X 4	5
I am more interested in philosophy as a result of having taken this course. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	2	3	X 4	5
I found working in small groups together on exercises beneficial. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	2	3	X 4	5



Evaluation # 13 of 16

General Questions

Did you find the course intellectually engaging? (1 = not at all; 5 = very engaging)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Usefulness of the website (1 = not at all; 5 = very useful)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Difficulty overall (1 = easy, 5 = very difficult)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Rate the value of sections/labs (1=Not Valuable; 5=Very Valuable)	X	NA	1	2	3	4	5
OVERALL COURSE RATING (1 = very poor; 5 = outstanding)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of this course. How could it be improved?

I feel it's very well planned

Would you recommend this course?

Yes

The assigned reading was useful. (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
How well paced is the course workload? (1 = too slow, 5 = too fast)	NA	1	2	X	3	4	5
Assignments were well chosen and relevant to the material (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Comments on written work were helpful. (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5

Comment on the reading assignments.

Comment on written assignments and/or problem sets. (Did they help you prepare for the exams or quizzes? Were they manageable?)

Problem sets were not only beneficial to learning but were also fun

Evaluation of Instructors

Pearson, James J

Does the instructor give clear/well-structured presentations? (1=Poor/No; 5=Very Good/Yes)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Answered questions well in class (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Encouraged participation (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Was receptive to answering questions outside class (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Generated enthusiasm for the subject matter (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5

WAS EFFECTIVE OVERALL (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)

NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
----	---	---	---	---	---------------

Comment on the instructor(s).

Questions Provided by the Instructor

Assignments helped me to improve my problem-solving abilities. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
I am more interested in philosophy as a result of having taken this course. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
I found working in small groups together on exercises beneficial. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5



Evaluation # 14 of 16

General Questions

Did you find the course intellectually engaging? (1 = not at all; 5 = very engaging)	NA	1	2	3	4	5
Usefulness of the website (1 = not at all; 5 = very useful)	NA	1	2	3	4	5
Difficulty overall (1 = easy, 5 = very difficult)	NA	1	2	3	4	5
Rate the value of sections/labs (1=Not Valuable; 5=Very Valuable)	NA	1	2	3	4	5
OVERALL COURSE RATING (1 = very poor; 5 = outstanding)	NA	1	2	3	4	5

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of this course. How could it be improved?

Would you recommend this course?

The assigned reading was useful. (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	5
How well paced is the course workload? (1 = too slow, 5 = too fast)	NA	1	2	3	4	5
Assignments were well chosen and relevant to the material (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	5
Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	5
Comments on written work were helpful. (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	5

Comment on the reading assignments.

Comment on written assignments and/or problem sets. (Did they help you prepare for the exams or quizzes? Were they manageable?)

Evaluation of Instructors

Pearson, James J

Does the instructor give clear/well-structured presentations? (1=Poor/No; 5=Very Good/Yes)	NA	1	2	3	4	5
Answered questions well in class (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	5
Encouraged participation (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	5
Was receptive to answering questions outside class (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	5
Generated enthusiasm for the subject matter (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	5



WAS EFFECTIVE OVERALL (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)

					X	
NA	1	2	3	4	5	

Comment on the instructor(s).

Questions Provided by the Instructor

Assignments helped me to improve my problem-solving abilities. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
I am more interested in philosophy as a result of having taken this course. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
I found working in small groups together on exercises beneficial. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	2	3	X 4	5



Evaluation # 15 of 16

General Questions

Did you find the course intellectually engaging? (1 = not at all; 5 = very engaging)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Usefulness of the website (1 = not at all; 5 = very useful)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Difficulty overall (1 = easy, 5 = very difficult)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Rate the value of sections/labs (1=Not Valuable; 5=Very Valuable)	X NA	1	2	3	4	5
OVERALL COURSE RATING (1 = very poor; 5 = outstanding)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of this course. How could it be improved?

having sections would have been helpful.

Would you recommend this course?

yes, absolutely.

The assigned reading was useful. (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	X 4	5
How well paced is the course workload? (1 = too slow, 5 = too fast)	NA	1	2	X 3	4	5
Assignments were well chosen and relevant to the material (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Comments on written work were helpful. (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5

Comment on the reading assignments.

Comment on written assignments and/or problem sets. (Did they help you prepare for the exams or quizzes? Were they manageable?)

the problem sets prepared me very well for the exams.

Evaluation of Instructors

Pearson, James J

Does the instructor give clear/well-structured presentations? (1=Poor/No; 5=Very Good/Yes)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Answered questions well in class (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Encouraged participation (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Was receptive to answering questions outside class (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
Generated enthusiasm for the subject matter (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5



WAS EFFECTIVE OVERALL (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)

						X
NA	1	2	3	4	5	

Comment on the instructor(s).

Questions Provided by the Instructor

Assignments helped me to improve my problem-solving abilities. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X
I am more interested in philosophy as a result of having taken this course. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X
I found working in small groups together on exercises beneficial. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	2	X	3	4



Evaluation # 16 of 16

General Questions

Did you find the course intellectually engaging? (1 = not at all; 5 = very engaging)	NA	1	2	X	3	4	5
Usefulness of the website (1 = not at all; 5 = very useful)	NA	1	2	3	X	4	5
Difficulty overall (1 = easy, 5 = very difficult)	NA	1	2	X	3	4	5
Rate the value of sections/labs (1=Not Valuable; 5=Very Valuable)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
OVERALL COURSE RATING (1 = very poor; 5 = outstanding)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5

Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of this course. How could it be improved?

Would you recommend this course?

The assigned reading was useful. (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
How well paced is the course workload? (1 = too slow, 5 = too fast)	NA	1	2	X	3	4	5
Assignments were well chosen and relevant to the material (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Assignments and/or problem sets returned promptly (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Comments on written work were helpful. (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5

Comment on the reading assignments.

Comment on written assignments and/or problem sets. (Did they help you prepare for the exams or quizzes? Were they manageable?)

Evaluation of Instructors

Pearson, James J

Does the instructor give clear/well-structured presentations? (1=Poor/No; 5=Very Good/Yes)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Answered questions well in class (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Encouraged participation (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Was receptive to answering questions outside class (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5
Generated enthusiasm for the subject matter (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X	5



WAS EFFECTIVE OVERALL (1=Strongly Disagree; 5=Strongly Agree)

NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
----	---	---	---	---	---------------

Comment on the instructor(s).

Questions Provided by the Instructor

Assignments helped me to improve my problem-solving abilities. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	2	3	4	X 5
I am more interested in philosophy as a result of having taken this course. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	2	3	X 4	5
I found working in small groups together on exercises beneficial. (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=neither agree or disagree; 4=agree; 5=strongly agree)	NA	1	2	X 3	4	5